

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,196	12/21/2001	Michael Childs	1528.010US1	4927
21186	7590 07/12/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			NGUYEN, THU V	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. '		3661	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Advisory Action	10/032,196	CHILDS ET AL.				
navious y nous.	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Thu Nguyen	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address Y				
THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a viral rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of t	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the firm the f	ı			
as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mail CFR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final rejection, even if				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	, ,					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>9-15 and 38</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: 19 and 25.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,16,17,20-24,26,29-37,39 and 4	<u>10</u> .	·				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>5</u>	<u>/28/04</u> .				
10. Other:		Nguyenline				
		THU V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: added limitations need further consideration and search. Further, with respect to claim 16, although the indicated allowable claim 19 has been incorporated into claim 16, claim 16 omits certain limitation, the scope of the claim need further consideration as well.